UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,135	10/06/2005	Toshiyuki Majima	2005-0634A	6517
	7590 09/21/200 I, LIND & PONACK, I	•	EXAM	INER
2033 K STREE SUITE 800			PRONE, J	ASON D
				PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/532,135	MAJIMA ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jason Prone	3724	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR EXECUTION OF THE MAILING OF THE	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
tatus	•			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3)	)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me			
	closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
isposit	ion of Claims			
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applic	cation.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)[	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
pplicat	ion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner. Note the attached	I Office Action or form PTO-152.	
riority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docu</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E</li></ul>	e priority documents have been	·· ———	
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.	
ttachmen	• •	<b></b>		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application	
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date	6)	<u>_</u> .	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/532,135 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

• Species A: Figures 1-5c

Species B: Figures 6-11

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:
  - Species A: Figures 1-5c incorporate a rectangular-tubular main frame with the motor and all associated items having structures that allow the motor and all associated items to be located above the punch heads.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/532,135

Art Unit: 3724

 Species B: Figures 6-11 incorporate a non-rectangular-tubular main frame with the motor and all associated items having structures that allow the motor and all associated items to be located below the punch heads

3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features as seen above.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Prone whose telephone number is (571) 272-4513. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:30, Mon - (every other) Fri.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,135

Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer D. Ashley can be reached on (571) 272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

September 18, 2007

Patent Examiner Jason Prone Art Unit 3724 T.C. 3700